

Exact beta function from the holographic loop equation of large- N QCD_4

Marco Bochicchio

*INFN Sezione di Roma, Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita' di Roma 'La Sapienza'
Piazzale Aldo Moro 2, 00185 Roma
E-mail: marco.bochicchio@roma1.infn.it*

ABSTRACT: We construct and study a quantum holographic effective action, Γ_q , whose critical equation implies the holographic loop equation of large- N QCD_4 for planar self-avoiding loops in a certain regularization scheme. We extract from Γ_q the exact beta function in the given scheme. For the Wilsonian coupling constant the beta function is exactly one loop and the first coefficient, β_0 , agrees with its value in perturbation theory. For the canonical coupling constant the exact beta function has a $NSVZ$ form and the first two coefficients in powers of the coupling, β_0 and β_1 , agree with their value in perturbation theory.

KEYWORDS: Renormalization Group, $1/N$ Expansion, Differential and Algebraic Geometry, Non-Commutative Geometry.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Holography as a tool to perform large-N functional integrals: the quantum holographic effective action	7
3.	The large-N exact beta function for the Wilsonian and the canonical coupling	21
4.	Conclusions	30

1. Introduction

From a purely computational point of view, one of the aims of this paper is to show that the exact beta function of large- N QCD_4 , for the 't Hooft canonical coupling $g_c^2 = g_{\text{cYM}}^2 N$ that occurs in the effective action, Γ_q , constructed in this paper, is given by:

$$\frac{\partial g_c}{\partial \log \Lambda} = \frac{-\beta_0 g_c^3 + \frac{\beta_J}{4} g_c^3 \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial \log \Lambda}}{1 - \beta_J g_c^2} \tag{1.1}$$

in a certain regularization scheme to be specified later, with:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{11}{3} \\ \beta_J &= \frac{4}{(4\pi)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

and Z to be defined in eq. (3.16) and computed to all orders in the Wilsonian coupling constant, up to finite terms, in eq. (3.17) of section 3.

At the same time, the beta function for the 't Hooft Wilsonian coupling, that occurs in Γ_q , is exactly one loop:

$$\frac{\partial g_W}{\partial \log \Lambda} = -\beta_0 g_W^3 \tag{1.3}$$

While the mentioned scheme is not easy to compare to anyone which may be chosen in perturbation theory, perhaps the most relevant aspect of eq. (1.1) is that it is deduced from a version of the large- N loop equation for planar self-avoiding loops and that, once the result for Z to the lowest order in the canonical coupling:

$$Z = 1 + g_c^2 \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{10}{3} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right) \tag{1.4}$$

is inserted in eq. (1.1), it implies the correct value of the first and second perturbative coefficients of the beta function [1–4]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial g_c}{\partial \log \Lambda} &= -\beta_0 g_c^3 + \left(\frac{\beta_J}{4} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{10}{3} - \beta_0 \beta_J \right) g_c^5 + \dots \\
 &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{11}{3} g_c^3 + \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4} \left(\frac{10}{3} - \frac{44}{3} \right) g_c^5 + \dots \\
 &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{11}{3} g_c^3 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^4} \frac{34}{3} g_c^5 + \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.5}$$

which are known to be universal, i.e. scheme independent.

In a different large- N limit of QCD_4 , a different exact beta function of $NSVZ$ type was obtained in [5, 6], by finding a link with the large- N $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SUSY$ gauge theory. It was then argued [5, 6] that also the ordinary large- N 't Hooft limit considered in this paper admits $SUSY$ relics and in particular a beta function of $NSVZ$ type. It is possible that the preceding observation explains why the exact beta function found in this paper has a $NSVZ$ structure, despite the absence of any super-symmetry. In this respect, but more intrinsically from the point of view of this paper, it might be relevant the existence, in the pure large- N YM theory, of an analogue of the chiral ring of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SUSY$ gauge theory, mentioned later in this introduction.

The exact beta function of eq. (1.1) follows from our construction of the holographic effective action, Γ_q , which is in fact the aim of this paper from a broader point of view. Before showing the details, we should perhaps mention in which sense our construction solves the loop equation in the large- N limit. Usually, by the solution of the large- N limit it is meant finding an operator valued connection A_α , the master field [7], living in some non-commutative type II_1 von Neumann algebra (i.e. the algebra has a finite normalised trace) [8], that solves the following loop equation [9, 10] uniformly for all loops:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = \int DA_\alpha \exp \left(-\frac{N}{2g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr(F_{\alpha\beta}^2) d^4x \right) & \left(Tr \left(\frac{N}{g^2} D_\alpha F_{\alpha\beta}(z) \Psi(x, x; A) \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + i \int_{C(x,x)} dy_\beta \delta^{(d)}(z-y) Tr(\Psi(x, y; A)) Tr(\Psi(y, x; A)) \right)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.6}$$

with:

$$\Psi(x, y; A) = P \exp i \int_{C(x,y)} A_\alpha dx_\alpha
 \tag{1.7}$$

This is a very difficult problem, since the ambient algebra of based Wilson loops in the large- N limit is a non-hyperfinite von Neumann algebra, i.e. an algebra that is not the limit of a sequence of finite dimensional matrix algebras [11–15].

By no means our construction solves this problem, that is essentially equivalent to find the exact $1PI$ effective action in the large- N limit [16–18]. Rather, we consider solving the loop equation for a fixed planar self-avoiding loop. Since the loop is fixed, the corresponding algebra, obtained iterating the loop, is commutative [11, 19]. In addition the connection, whose holonomy is computed by our choice of the Wilson loop, is of a special type. Its

curvature is a linear combination of the anti-selfdual (ASD) components only. In a sense that will become apparent in the rest of the paper, our Wilson loop belongs to an $\mathcal{N} = 0$ analogue of the chiral ring [20, 21] of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super-symmetric gauge theories [22]. Thus we are looking for the solution of a much simpler, yet non-trivial problem, that contains a more limited, but still very interesting information.

The version of the large- N loop equation that we refer to, has been named holographic by us in [11], because it involves a boundary-bulk correspondence between the loop equation of large- N QCD_4 , which lives on loops, and a holographic effective action whose critical equation, which lives on points, implies the loop equation in its holographic form. We should justify better why we named holographic such construction.

The loop equation can be roughly seen as the sum of a classical term, that is the easy one to control, because it has already the form of a critical equation for an effective action (the classical one indeed) and a quantum term, the difficult one to control, because it is a contour integral along the loop and thus gives the loop equation a structure very different from a critical equation defined on points. Now, loosely speaking, there is a way to associate to a loop a point, via the evaluation of a residue. In fact the Cauchy theorem can be regarded as the oldest and most remarkable case of holography.

We implement this idea as follows. Our strategy, to construct the quantum effective action equivalent to the loop equation, is to change variables and to make transformations in the loop equation in such a way that, in the new variables, the quantum term vanishes for planar self-avoiding loops whose holonomy is of the special type mentioned before. This is achieved in two steps.

In the first step, we change variables in the loop equation from the connection to its curvature, in such a way that the quantum term, that is a contour integral, is reduced to the computation of a regularized residue, evaluated at any marked point of the loop that enters the loop equation. The reason for which this is possible for our special choice of the connection is that a holomorphic gauge exists, in which functionally differentiating the connection with respect to its curvature in the loop equation produces the Cauchy kernel.

In the second step, the region inside and the one outside the marked loop are mapped by a conformal transformation to two cuspidal fundamental domains (we get control over the cusp anomaly) in the upper half plane, in such a way that to any marked point of the loop are attached infinitesimal strips ending into the cusps at infinity. Attaching to the marked points the infinitesimal strips does not change the Wilson loop, i.e. the holonomy of the loop, because of the zig-zag symmetry. The zig-zag symmetry means that the Wilson loop is left invariant if any arc that backtracks is added to the loop [23]. The regularized residue vanishes at the cusps (i.e. the image of the marked points by the conformal map) because of the zig-zag symmetry of the loop in a neighbourhood of the cusps, thus implying the existence of an equivalent effective action on the conformally transformed domain.

The two domains thus obtained have the loop in common. We can look at this picture as a hologram of the universe. This hologram of the universe is in fact enriched by many other cusps, that can all be chosen to lie on the boundary of the upper half plane. These cusps are the images in the hologram of a lattice of points in the (conformally compactified) plane over which the loop lies, that carry the local degrees of freedom of the gauge theory.

Also these cusps are the end points of infinitesimal strips, starting from the loop, that can be freely added to the loop without changing the loop equation because of the zig-zag symmetry. Thus, in our hologram, all the bulk degrees of freedom of the original theory live on the boundary line in the upper half plane.

It has been shown in [11] that, from a purely gauge theoretic point of view, the reason for which the quantum term in the loop equation vanishes on the hologram is that on the hologram the structure group of the gauge theory can be reduced by means of a peculiar gauge fixing: we can, at the same time, choose an axial gauge in a direction orthogonal to the line of the cusps and, using the residual gauge symmetry extended across the cusp line, diagonalize the degrees of freedom that live at the cusps, to get a theory of N eigenvalues as opposed to the original order of N^2 matrix elements. This theory of N eigenvalues is necessarily classical in the large- N limit and it is determined by the critical equation of the effective action, thus completing our, by now holographic, boundary-bulk correspondence.

Therefore holography, for us, is a tool to perform large- N functional integrals. From this purely gauge theoretic point of view, a subtle point arises about compactifying the cusps on the conformally transformed domain. This compactification is absolutely needed: the loop equation would reduce simply to the classical contribution, were the marked points not to belong to the loop. Because of the compactification, the gauge symmetry must extend to the cusps. However, extending the gauge freedom to the cusps creates in general a Dirac string and it is not compatible with the geometry of the cusps as parabolic points. In fact the arcs ending into the cusps associated to the usual parabolic points share the same orientation in order to form tubes, after pairwise identification, ending into the cusps at infinity. The Dirac string forbids the identification of the two arcs and thus the extension of the gauge symmetry to the cusps, as it should be for truly parabolic points. Indeed the moduli of parabolic bundles are usually defined requiring that the gauge group acts trivially at the cusps [24]. Yet, if the arcs ending into the cusps have opposite orientation, as implied by the loop orientation, the existence of the Dirac string is compatible with the opposite orientation of the arcs, because these arcs must not be identified: indeed they form strips and not tubes. Thus, in this case, the gauge symmetry can be extended to the cusps.

In this paper we choose a Wilson loop in the adjoint representation, that in the large- N limit factorizes into the product of two Wilson loops in the fundamental representation and its conjugate. Since the v.e.v. of a Wilson loop does not depend on its orientation and on which between the fundamental representation or its conjugate is chosen, at global level there are two possibilities of gluing the two charts of the hologram along the boundary loop. They correspond to an orbifold or to an orientifold [25, 26].

In $d = 4$, we present our construction for the orientifold case only [26]. The essential reason is that any marked point of the loop has in fact two images, one for each of the two hologram charts. Therefore the infinitesimal strips added to the loop must occur in pairs, one inside and one outside the loop. Thus also the cusps occur in pairs, so that the lattice inside and the one outside the loop have the same number of cusps. Hence the theory needs necessarily two different lattice scales, \tilde{a} and a , that are used to measure, for example, different areas, since the number of lattice points in the two charts is the same. Thus space-time does not contain a lattice of uniform spacing. This is somehow irrelevant in

the $d = 2$ theory, but introduces great computational difficulties in $d = 4$. These difficulties persist in the orbifold construction. The orientifold construction, instead, merges hologram charts with the same lattice spacing, but with conjugate representations of the connection, allowing explicit computations.

Let us describe in more detail the holographic loop equation [11]. The loop equation in its conventional form is written in terms of a generic non-planar Wilson loop. However, to be able to evaluate the quantum term as a residue, we need a planar Wilson loop. Planar unitary holonomies are not a complete system of observables in the $d = 4$ theory. To partially fix this, we consider planar non-unitary Wilson loops, built by means of a non-hermitean connection whose curvature is a certain linear combination of the *ASD* part of the curvature only. Correspondingly, to get our new form of the loop equation, we introduce a resolution of identity in the functional integral into the levels of the *ASD* part of the curvature. To be compatible with this resolution, we employ the well known decomposition of the classical action into a topological term, the second Chern class, and a term containing only the *ASD* part of the curvature. Our loop equation is written using as integration variable the *ASD* part of the curvature.

The second Chern class does not contribute to the loop equation. Yet, to get a planar theory in space-time and thus a residue in the loop equation, we need a non-commutative (in a plane orthogonal to the plane of the Wilson loop) Eguchi-Kawai (*EK*) reduction of the theory from four to two dimensions, in the limit of infinite non-commutativity, that is equivalent to the original theory in the large- N limit [27–31].

This reduces the *ASD* part of the curvature to a curvature of Hitchin type [32], but some of the global four dimensional information survives in the second Chern class and in the existence of a central extension in the *ASD* part of the curvature due to non-commutativity, that is related to the first Chern class.

We then require the local part of the curvature of Hitchin type to be localised into a linear combination of two dimensional delta functions. This realizes many purposes. It gives us a dense basis valued in the distributions, for integrating over the curvature in the functional integral. It gives us a nice moduli space [33–37]. It gives us a curvature localised on points, to which we can attach infinitesimal strips in the loop equation. It gives us nice formulae for the first and second Chern class in terms of parabolic Higgs bundles [36, 24].

These Chern classes depend also on four dimensional features of the fibration of the parabolic Higgs bundles, for example intersection numbers. This four dimensional information is given independently of the loop equation and it is represented by a discrete set of choices some of which must be compatible with the global structure of the hologram.

The content of this paper is as follows.

In section 2 we explicitly construct the quantum holographic effective action, Γ_q , an object that was previously defined in [11] through an auxiliary quantity, Γ , the classical holographic effective action. In fact for technical reasons, to construct the large- N limit, we employ a twisted version of the pure YM theory, that is equivalent to a non-commutative theory on $R^2 \times R^2_\theta$ in the limit of infinite non-commutativity $\theta \rightarrow \infty$. Section 2 contains several refinements with respect to [11]. In particular it employs a well known decomposition of the classical YM action into the second Chern class and a purely *ASD* term, that is

particularly well suited both theoretically and computationally for getting our holographic effective action, but that was not considered in [11]. We give also a uniform treatment of the cusps corresponding to the marked points of the loop and the ones corresponding to the remaining points, an improvement with respect to [11]. We also mention the absence of a logarithmic cusp anomaly in the loop equation in $d = 4$. Finally, we point out some unexpected links with the operad structure of the arc complex defined over Riemann surfaces with boundaries [38].

In section 3 we write down the holographic effective action in $d = 2$ ($d = 4$), taking into account in our hologram both regions delimited by the Wilson loop on a (large) sphere and the related fact that the eigenvalues of the curvature of the (twisted) local system that enters the construction are defined only up to some shifts. The occurrence of these shifts in the curvature of the connection is explained by the fact that the logarithm of the eigenvalues of the holonomy of the connection around the loop is determined only modulo $2\pi i$ and that the two charts of the hologram are glued together summing over field configurations that keep the holonomy of the connection around the loop fixed. These shifts are important both in two and four dimensions. In two dimensions they lead to the confining strong coupling phase transition [39–41]. In four dimension presumably they lead to confinement as well. The occurrence of the shifts may be a hint of the existence of a stringy representation of the partition function in the $d = 4$ case at least for large loops, following the analogy with the $d = 2$ theory in the strong coupling phase [40, 41], where on the string side they can be related to the winding of the string around the loop [40, 41].

Since we compute the effective action for a Wilson loop in the adjoint representation, we are looking effectively to a $SU(N)/Z_N$ theory. Therefore the partition function contains a sum with equal weights over sectors of Z_N flux. This implies that our quantum holographic effective action, Γ_q , contains also a sum over these sectors as well.

In section 3 we compute exactly the local part of the holographic effective action (in the language of AdS holography this is the near horizon limit [42]), up to finite terms and up to a conformal anomaly. An essential feature of the orientifold case for the adjoint representation is that our computation almost factorizes into the product of two contributions associated to two different holograms. One hologram is the orientifold obtained merging the regions close to the point at infinity. We call it the hologram at infinity. The other one is obtained merging the regions close to zero, the antipodal point on a sphere. We call it the hologram at zero.

In section 3 we compute the beta function of the Wilsonian coupling constant, finding that it is exactly one loop in the given scheme and that agrees with the one-loop perturbative result. We compute also the exact beta function for the canonical coupling, finding exact agreement with the one and two loop perturbative result, that is known to be scheme independent.

The exact canonical beta function, as opposed to the two loop perturbative one, has, in addition to the usual perturbative ultraviolet fixed point, an infrared fixed point. Indeed the infrared fixed point occurs at the value of the coupling for which the numerator in eq. (1.1) has a zero, as a consequence of the cancellation between terms of different orders in g with opposite signs. The value of the running coupling for which the infrared zero

of the beta function occurs is scheme dependent, due to the scheme dependence of higher order coefficients of $\frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial \log \Lambda}$.

In section 4 we recall our conclusions.

2. Holography as a tool to perform large- N functional integrals: the quantum holographic effective action

Our starting point is the pure $SU(N)$ YM theory defined over the four manifold R^4 . Our observable is a Wilson loop in the adjoint representation in Euclidean space-time. We will eventually perform the analytic continuation of the Euclidean Wilson loop to Minkowskian space-time and also to ultra-hyperbolic signature. In this case we obtain a Wilson loop on the light cone in Minkowskian signature or on a diagonally embedded light cone in ultra-hyperbolic signature.

The partition function reads:

$$Z = \int \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_{adj}(F_{\alpha\beta}^2) d^4x \right) DA \tag{2.1}$$

where the generators of the Lie algebra in the adjoint representation are normalised as:

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(T^a T^b)_{adj} &= N \delta_{ab} \\ \sum_a (T^a)_{adj}^2 &= N 1_{adj} \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

When the distinction between the Wilsonian and the canonical coupling constant is unimportant we will refer to the coupling constant generically as to g , while we will add to g the underscript w or c to refer to the Wilsonian or to the canonical coupling respectively.

In the large- N limit the v.e.v of a Wilson loop in the adjoint representation factorizes into the product of the v.e.v. in the fundamental representation and its complex conjugate. Each factor can then be computed independently. This is an example of an orbifold correspondence [25] in the large- N limit, between certain observables of the parent theory, i.e. Wilson loops in the adjoint representation in the large- N $SU(N)$ theory, and certain observables of the daughter theory, i.e. the product of Wilson loops in the fundamental and conjugate representation in the large- N $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ theory.

In this paper we will make large use of this orbifold correspondence and also of another version of it, that is known as the orientifold correspondence [25, 26], which will be explained later.

The partition function of our orbifold $SU(N) \times SU(N)$ daughter theory has, by construction, the factorized form:

$$Z = \int \exp \left(-\frac{N}{2g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta}^2) d^4x \right) DA \int \exp \left(-\frac{N}{2g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_{\bar{f}}(\bar{F}_{\alpha\beta}^2) d^4x \right) D\bar{A}, \tag{2.3}$$

where the generators in the fundamental representation and its conjugate are normalised as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_f(T^a T^b) &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab} \\ \sum_a (T^a)_f^2 &= \frac{N^2 - 1}{2N} 1_f \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

For notational convenience and brevity, we perform our analysis of the loop equation for just one factor, for example the one corresponding to the fundamental representation. This suffices to write down the holographic form of the loop equation on the original space-time and to show the vanishing of the quantum contribution in the loop equation on each chart of the corresponding hologram at local level. However, at global level, in the orientifold construction, we are required to put together both the fundamental and anti-fundamental factors of the adjoint representation.

The large- N limit of the pure YM can be reduced to a planar problem by a partial EK reduction, in which two, among the four space-time dimensions, are reduced to a point. Thus our large- N theory, in its continuum version, is a non-commutative theory on $R^2 \times R_\theta^2$ in the limit of infinite non-commutativity. This is the twisted theory.

The degrees of freedom corresponding to the non-commutative R_θ^2 are absorbed into the colour Hilbert space. This is the twisted reduced theory, that is two dimensional, but with fields living into an infinite dimensional colour space. This means, for example, that the derivatives in the non-commutative directions are interpreted as creation and annihilation operators in an infinite dimensional matrix representation in colour space.

It is well known, directly from the loop equation or by functional integral methods [43], that the classical action of the theory thus reduced must be rescaled by a factor of N_2^{-1} , to compensate the reduction of the entropy in the functional integration. N_2 represents the number of semi-classical quantum states in the directions transverse to the loop. In the ordinary theory on commutative space-time $N_2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2x d^2p$. In the non-commutative case N_2 satisfies $\frac{2\pi}{N_2 H \tilde{a}^2} = 1$, where H is the inverse of the non-commutative parameter, θ , and \tilde{a} the lattice cutoff. Let us notice that when H is normalised as $H = \frac{2\pi}{V_2}$, with V_2 the area of the transverse space-time, $N_2 = \frac{V_2}{\tilde{a}^2}$ as in the commutative case.

The reduction process could be continued until the four dimensional theory is reduced to a 0-dimensional matrix model, but, for our purposes, we require only a partial EK reduction to two dimensions.

We now give a heuristic description of how the holographic map works for the reduced theory. We are given a two dimensional gauge theory with a residual two dimensional gauge symmetry. In a lattice version this theory is a reduced twisted EK model, in which the local gauge degrees of freedom live on the links of a planar lattice.

From the point of view of the large- N functional integration each link carries order of N^2 integration variables and thus the functional integral cannot be performed by the saddle point method, because the entropy is of the same order of N^2 . Let us suppose that, in some way, we can pass from the links of the planar lattice to the points of the dual lattice. In the language of the continuum theory we pass from the connection to the curvature as

fundamental integration variable. In the $d = 2$ theory, this is simply the curvature of the connection that enters the functional integral. In the $d = 4$ theory, this is actually the *ASD* part of the curvature in a version of the twisted reduced *EK* model.

The corresponding observable is a Wilson loop with a non-hermitean holonomy, whose curvature coincides with a non-hermitean combination of the *ASD* part of the curvature in the Euclidean signature. However, the analytic continuation to ultra-hyperbolic signature can be performed in such a way that the curvature becomes hermitean. The ultra-hyperbolic signature is obtained by analytic continuation from Euclidean to Minkowskian space-time taking into account that the gauge invariant regularization of the loop equation that we will employ requires analytic continuation from Euclidean to Minkowskian space-time and, as result, the planar Wilson loop analytically continued lives on a light cone, diagonally embedded in $R^2 \times R_0^2$.

The change of variable from the connection to the *ASD* part of the curvature defines the classical holographic effective action, Γ , that is obtained by adding to the classical action the logarithm of the Jacobian of the change of variable from the connection to the *ASD* curvature, plus the logarithm of another Jacobian, due to the choice of a holomorphic gauge chosen in order to get the Cauchy kernel in the loop equation.

In $d = 4$, the *ASD* part of the curvature suffices to resolve the identity in the functional integral, since the classical action is written as the sum of the *ASD* part and of a topological term involving the (parabolic) second Chern class, that is kept fixed by quantum fluctuations, and that, for the stable parabolic Higgs bundles introduced momentarily, vanishes identically [36].

Yet, at each point of the dual lattice, we have still order of N^2 integration variables. Hence the name classical holographic action for Γ , since Γ defines the classical action still to be integrated in the functional integral over the *ASD* curvature.

Now we compactify the $d = 2$ space time of the reduced theory to a sphere, requiring that all the fields approach a definite limit at infinity. Our dual lattice defines a divisor on the sphere, on which the curvature of our twisted infinite dimensional parabolic Higgs bundle is localised. The twist refers here to a constant central term occurring in the *ASD* part of the curvature, in addition to the delta-like singularities, and is due to the non-commutative nature of the twisted *EK* reduction in the continuum limit.

By the uniformization theory, the sphere with punctures is conformally equivalent to a cuspidal fundamental domain in the upper half plane, whose parabolic points are the cusps. This domain of the upper half plane would be, at first sight, the candidate hologram of the original theory. Indeed, on the upper half plane, that is the universal cover, we can fix an axial gauge that leaves a residual gauge symmetry along the boundary, that is the line where the cusps sit. Could we extend the gauge symmetry to the cusps, using the residual symmetry, we could impose an extra gauge fixing condition in order to reduce the number of integration variables. However, this does not work for the following reason. There is a subtle point, that really depends as to whether the Dirac string, created extending the gauge symmetry across the cusps, is compatible with the geometry of the cusps. If the arcs ending into the cusps have the same orientation, they can be glued to form tubes going to infinity. These are the cusps that coincide with the usual parabolic points. But then the

existence of the Dirac string is incompatible with gluing, a situation that we could have anticipated, since we started with parabolic points. Indeed moduli of parabolic bundles are usually defined requiring that the gauge group acts trivially on the curvature at those points [24].

However, if the cusps were the ending points of arcs with opposite orientation, these arcs could not be identified and thus their geometry would be compatible with the existence of a Dirac string. Hence, we need a situation in which the arcs ending into the cusps have opposite orientation. This can be obtained if strips are added to the marked points of the loop in the loop equation. The opposite orientation of the strip sides is then implied by the loop orientation. But then our hologram has necessarily two charts with the loop in common. In this case, the gauge symmetry can be extended to the cusps, and the curvature can be diagonalized in the hermitean case or triangularized in general (we will see that, in the loop equation, also a triangular curvature suffices to construct the quantum effective action; yet, the analytic continuation to Minkowskian space-time, that is implicit in the regularization procedure, implies a hermitean curvature).

In the large- N limit this defines a classical theory. Thus the quantum term in the loop equation has to vanish. This is the consequence of the zig-zag symmetry along the cusp arcs, that is the same as to say that the arcs have opposite orientations.

Having mentioned the basic ideas, we can now construct in detail our version of the loop equation, and the corresponding quantum holographic effective action. Here are the appropriate formulae.

It has been observed sporadically in the literature that the YM functional integral can be written in the second form

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \exp \left(- \frac{N}{2g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta}^2) d^4x \right) DA \\ &= \int \exp \left(- \frac{N8\pi^2}{g^2} Q - \frac{N}{4g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta}^{-2}) d^4x \right) DA \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

as opposed to the first one. Q is the second Chern class, given by:

$$Q = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}) d^4x \quad (2.6)$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\alpha\beta}^- &= F_{\alpha\beta} - \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} \\ \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} F_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The last form of the functional integral, though perfectly equivalent to the usual one, is particularly well suited for the approach to the large- N loop equation developed in [11] and here.

Indeed, a basic idea in [11] is to consider the loop equation associated to a connection, B , whose curvature is of *ASD* type. This connection is singled out by the natural choice

of the resolution of identity into the levels $\mu_{\alpha\beta}^-$ of the *ASD* part of the curvature of the gauge connection A_α :

$$1 = \int \delta(F_{\alpha\beta}^- - \mu_{\alpha\beta}^-) D\mu_{\alpha\beta}^- \quad (2.8)$$

The partition function thus becomes:

$$Z = \int \exp\left(-\frac{N8\pi^2}{g^2}Q - \frac{N}{4g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr(\mu_{\alpha\beta}^{-2}) d^4x\right) \delta(F_{\alpha\beta}^- - \mu_{\alpha\beta}^-) D\mu_{\alpha\beta}^- DA \quad (2.9)$$

We can write the partition function in the new form:

$$Z = \int \exp\left(-\frac{N8\pi^2}{g^2}Q - \frac{N}{4g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr(\mu_{\alpha\beta}^{-2}) d^4x\right) Det'^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta_A \delta_{\alpha\beta} + D_\alpha D_\beta + iad_{\mu_{\alpha\beta}^-}) D\mu_{\alpha\beta}^- \quad (2.10)$$

where the integral over the gauge connection of the delta function has been now explicitly performed:

$$\begin{aligned} \int DA_\alpha \delta(F_{\alpha\beta}^- - \mu_{\alpha\beta}^-) &= |Det'^{-1}(P^- d_A \wedge)| = Det'^{-\frac{1}{2}}((P^- d_A \wedge)^*(P^- d_A \wedge)) \quad (2.11) \\ &= Det'^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta_A \delta_{\alpha\beta} + D_\alpha D_\beta + iad_{F_{\alpha\beta}^-}) \end{aligned}$$

where P^- is the projector onto the anti-selfdual part of the curvature and, by an abuse of notation, the connection A in the determinants denotes the solution of the equation $F_{\alpha\beta}^- - \mu_{\alpha\beta}^- = 0$. The ' superscript requires projecting away from the determinants the zero modes due to gauge invariance, since gauge fixing is not yet implied, though it may be understood if we like to.

We refer to the determinant in eq. (2.11) as to the localisation determinant because it arises localising the gauge connection on a given level of the *ASD* curvature. Let us notice the unusual spin term $iad_{F_{\alpha\beta}^-}$ in eq. (2.11).

The non-hermitean connection, B , that will enter our loop equation, is somehow adapted to the resolution of identity:

$$B = A + D = (A_z + D_u)dz + (A_{\bar{z}} + D_{\bar{u}})d\bar{z} \quad (2.12)$$

A is the projection of the four dimensional hermitean connection onto the ($z = x_0 + ix_1, \bar{z} = x_0 - ix_1$) plane of the planar loop and D is the projection of the four dimensional anti-hermitean non-commutative covariant derivative onto the orthogonal ($u = x_2 + ix_3, \bar{u} = x_2 - ix_3$) plane.

In this paper we choose the following notation as far as the complex basis of differentials $dz = dx_0 + idx_1$ and derivatives $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})$ is concerned. Thus, for example, $A_z = \frac{1}{2}(A_0 - iA_1)$. In particular the *ASD* constraint is interpreted as an equation for the curvature of the non-Hermitean connection $B = A + D = (A_z + D_u)dz + (A_{\bar{z}} + D_{\bar{u}})d\bar{z}$ and a harmonic condition for the Higgs field $\Psi = -iD = -i(D_u dz + D_{\bar{u}} d\bar{z})$.

In order to derive our loop equation for B , the resolution of identity must be rewritten into one of the following formally equivalent forms:

$$1 = \int \delta(F_B - \mu) \delta(\bar{F}_B - \bar{\mu}) \delta(d_A^* \Psi - \nu) D\mu D\bar{\mu} D\nu \quad (2.13)$$

or

$$1 = \int \delta(F_B - \mu) \delta(\bar{\partial}_A \psi - n) \delta(\partial_A \bar{\psi} - \bar{n}) D\mu Dn D\bar{n} \quad (2.14)$$

In the first case, $D\nu$ is a measure over Hermitean matrices, $\nu = n + \bar{n}$, while $D\mu D\bar{\mu}$ is a positive measure over complex matrices. In the second case $Dn D\bar{n}$ is a positive measure over complex matrices while $D\mu$ is a complex measure defined as an integral over the path $\mu = \mu^0 + n - \bar{n}$ with μ^0 hermitean matrices and $n - \bar{n}$ kept fixed while integrating over μ^0 .

The last ingredient, that we need to write down the holographic loop equation, is the observation that a change of variable exists for the connection B , in which the curvature of B is given by the field μ' , obtained from the equation:

$$F_B - \mu = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

by means of a complexified gauge transformation $G(x; B)$ that puts $B = b + \bar{b}$ in the holomorphic gauge $\bar{b} = 0$:

$$\bar{\partial} b_z = -i \frac{\mu'}{2} \quad (2.16)$$

where $\mu' = G\mu G^{-1}$. The mismatch of a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ between eq. (2.15) and eq. (2.16) occurs because eq. (2.15) is written in the real basis $dx_0 \wedge dx_1$ while eq. (2.16) is written for the complex components.

Employing eq. (2.14) as a resolution of identity in the functional integral, the partition function becomes:

$$Z = \int \delta(F_B - \mu) \delta(\bar{\partial}_A \psi - n) \delta(\partial_A \bar{\psi} - \bar{n}) \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2g^2} S_{\text{YM}}\right) \frac{D\mu}{D\mu'} D b D \bar{b} D \mu' D n D \bar{n}. \quad (2.17)$$

The integral over b, \bar{b} is the same as the integral over the four A_α . The resulting functional determinants, together with the Jacobian of the change of variables to the holomorphic gauge, are absorbed into the definition of Γ .

Γ plays here the role of a classical action, since we must integrate still over the fields μ', n, \bar{n} . We may call Γ the classical holographic action, as opposed to the quantum holographic effective action, Γ_q . Γ for the twisted reduced theory is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma = & \frac{N8\pi^2}{N_2 g^2} Q + \frac{N}{g^2} \frac{2\pi}{N_2 H} \int \text{Tr}_f (F_{01}^{-2} + F_{02}^{-2} + F_{03}^{-2}) d^2 x \\ & + \log \text{Det}'^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-\Delta_A \delta_{\alpha\beta} + D_\alpha D_\beta + i a d_{\mu_{\alpha\beta}^-}) - \log \frac{D\mu}{D\mu'} \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^0 &= F_{01}^- \\ n + \bar{n} &= F_{02}^- \\ i(n - \bar{n}) &= F_{03}^- \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

The resolution of identity in eq. (2.13) is based on a positive measure, while the one in eq. (2.14) is based on a complex measure, that indeed resembles the integration measure in complex matrix models [44], employed in the study of the chiral ring of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ *SUSY* gauge theories [20, 21].

The two resolutions of identity are formally equivalent. Yet the one in eq. (2.14) leads in natural way to the correct result for the beta function. Let us explain why.

The two choices lead to different powers of the Vandermonde determinants in the quantum holographic effective action and also to different ways of counting the dimension of the moduli space of adjoint orbits and of zero modes. This is due to a different pairing between the holomorphic and the anti-holomorphic contributions in the integration measure over the moduli of Higgs bundles. In turn this affects the powers of g that arise by rescaling the eigenvalues in the Vandermonde determinant and finally it affects the coefficients of $\log g$ in the renormalization of the canonical coupling constant.

Though these differences can be compensated by the different constraints that arise requiring the vanishing of the parabolic second Chern class, that in turn affect the normalisation of the classical action, only in the holomorphic case, as opposed to the hermitean one, we have been in fact able to show that there exist some Higgs bundles for which the parabolic constraints and the counting of zero modes are in fact satisfied in order to lead to the correct beta function.

By the way, the holomorphic resolution of identity leads to the same powers of the Vandermonde determinant that occur in the $d = 2$ case. For completeness we write also the formula for Γ in the $d = 2$ theory:

$$\Gamma = \frac{N}{g^2} \int Tr_f(F_{01}^2) d^2x + \log Det'^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta_A \delta_{\alpha\beta} + D_\alpha D_\beta + iad_{\mu\alpha\beta}) - \log \frac{D\mu}{D\mu'} \quad (2.20)$$

with:

$$\mu = F_{01} = \mu_{01} \quad (2.21)$$

From now on, as far as the loop equation is concerned, we consider only the $d = 4$ case, since the $d = 2$ case follows by analogy. The partition function of the $d = 4$ theory is now:

$$Z = \int \exp(-\Gamma) D\mu' Dn D\bar{n} \quad (2.22)$$

In the loop equation it is convenient to consider the Wilson loop as a functional of the connection b , corresponding to gauge transforming B into the gauge $\bar{b} = 0$. Such a gauge transformation belongs to the complexification of the gauge group and it is rather a change of variable than a proper gauge transformation. However, because of the property of the trace, for closed loops, it preserves the trace of the holonomy. This allows us to transform the loop equation thus obtained into an equation for the holonomy of B .

In our derivation of the loop equation, a crucial role is played by the condition that the expectation value of an open loop vanishes. In [45] two slightly different ways of achieving the vanishing of the expectation value of open b loops were presented. We may thus derive

our loop equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \int D\mu' Tr \frac{\delta}{\delta\mu'(w)} (\exp(-\Gamma)\Psi(x, x; b)) \\
 &= \int D\mu' \exp(-\Gamma) \left(Tr \left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w)} \Psi(x, x; b) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_{C(x,x)} dy_z \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}^{-1}(w-y) Tr(\lambda^a \Psi(x, y; b) \lambda^a \Psi(y, x; b)) \right) \\
 &= \int D\mu' \exp(-\Gamma) \left(Tr \left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w)} \Psi(x, x; b) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_{C(x,x)} dy_z \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}^{-1}(w-y) (Tr(\Psi(x, y; b)) Tr(\Psi(y, x; b)) - \frac{1}{N} Tr(\Psi(x, y; b) \Psi(y, x; b))) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

that in the large- N limit reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \int D\mu' \exp(-\Gamma) \left(Tr \left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w)} \Psi(x, x; b) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_{C(x,x)} dy_z \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}^{-1}(w-y) Tr(\Psi(x, y; b)) Tr(\Psi(y, x; b)) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

where in our notation we have omitted the integrations $DnD\bar{n}$ since they are irrelevant in the loop equation. This occurs because the curvature of B depends only on μ . Gauge invariant functionals of μ are therefore our analogue of the chiral ring of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SUSY$ gauge theories.

Because the trace of an open loop vanishes, the only non-trivial case in eq. (2.24) is when w lies on the loop C . In this case the loop equation can be transformed easily into an equation for B since the trace is over the holonomy of a closed loop.

It is clear that the contour integration in the quantum term of the loop equation includes the pole of the Cauchy kernel. We need therefore a gauge invariant regularization. The natural choice consists in analytically continuing the loop equation from Euclidean to Minkowskian space-time. Thus $z \rightarrow i(x_+ + i\epsilon)$. This regularization has the great virtue of being manifestly gauge invariant. In addition this regularization is not loop dependent. The result of the $i\epsilon$ regularization of the Cauchy kernel is the sum of two distributions, the principal part plus a one dimensional delta function:

$$\frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}^{-1}(w_x - y_x + i\epsilon) = (2\pi)^{-1} (P(w_x - y_x)^{-1} - i\pi\delta(w_x - y_x)) \tag{2.25}$$

The loop equation thus regularized looks like:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \int D\mu' \exp(-\Gamma) \left(Tr \left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w)} \Psi(x, x; b) \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_{C(x,x)} dy_x (2\pi)^{-1} (P(w_x - y_x)^{-1} - i\pi\delta(w_x - y_x)) Tr(\Psi(x, y; b)) Tr(\Psi(y, x; b)) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Being supported on open loops, the principal part does not contribute and the loop equation reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = & \int D\mu' \exp(-\Gamma) \left(\text{Tr} \left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu'(w)} \Psi(x, x; b) \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_{C(x,x)} dy_x \frac{i}{2} \delta(w_x - y_x) \text{Tr}(\Psi(x, y; b)) \text{Tr}(\Psi(y, x; b)) \right) \quad (2.27)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $w = x$ and using the transformation properties of the holonomy of b and of $\mu(x)'$, the preceding equation can be rewritten in terms of the connection, B , and the curvature, μ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = & \int D\mu' \exp(-\Gamma) \left(\text{Tr} \left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu(x)} \Psi(x, x; B) \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_{C(x,x)} dy_x \frac{i}{2} \delta(x_x - y_x) \text{Tr}(\Psi(x, y; B)) \text{Tr}(\Psi(y, x; B)) \right) \quad (2.28)
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the condition that the trace of open loops vanishes to substitute the b holonomy with the B holonomy.

We are now ready to construct the quantum holographic effective action Γ_q . On a dense set in the functional integral (in the sense of distributions), the equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_A - i\Psi^2 &= \sum_p \mu_p^0 \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) - H1 \\
 \bar{\partial}_A \psi &= \sum_p n_p \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) \\
 \partial_A \bar{\psi} &= \sum_p \bar{n}_p \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) \quad (2.29)
 \end{aligned}$$

define an infinite dimensional twisted local system or, what is the same, a twisted parabolic Higgs bundle on a sphere. Since the Higgs field acts on the infinite dimensional Hilbert space of a non-commutative R^2 , the curvature equation involves a central term, H , equal to the inverse of the parameter of non-commutativity, θ . This occurs because, once the gauge connection is required to vanish at infinity up to gauge equivalence, the only term that survives in the curvature at infinity is the commutator of the derivatives on the non-commutative R^2 , that is $H1$. $H1$ vanishes in the large- N limit. However, the integral over the two dimensional sphere of its trace, i.e. the first Chern class, need not to vanish in the large- N limit, as we will see momentarily. The central extension $H1$ is referred to in this paper as the twist of the local system. In the case $n = \bar{n} = 0$, that will be the most relevant for us, we may interpret the preceding equations as vortex equations. The central extension $H1$ is related to the non-vanishing of the Higgs field Ψ at infinity, while the zeroes of the Higgs field are localised at the points at which the hermitean part of the curvature has delta-like singularities.

There is a corresponding form of the holographic loop equation in terms of the lattice field of the curvature of the twisted parabolic Higgs bundles:

$$0 = \int \prod_q D\mu'_q \exp(-\Gamma) \left(Tr \left(\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\mu_p} \Psi(x_p, x_p; B) \right) - \int_{C(x_p, x_p)} dy_z \frac{1}{2} \bar{\partial}^{-1}(x_p - y) Tr(\Psi(x_p, y; B)) Tr(\Psi(y, x_p; B)) \right) \quad (2.30)$$

Because of the occurrence of a central extension in the curvature of the non-commutative theory, we need to modify slightly our formulae for the classical action and for the Chern classes. In addition we must take into account the parabolic structure, in such a way to define the parabolic first and second Chern classes [36].

Fibrations of parabolic Higgs bundles have been introduced in the YM functional integral in [46] and more recently in [24] in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ *SUSY* gauge theories. For twisted parabolic Higgs bundles the functional integral is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \exp \left(- \frac{N}{2g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta}^2) - Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta})^2 d^4x \right) DA \\ &= \int \exp \left(- \frac{N8\pi^2}{g^2} PC_2 - \frac{N}{4g^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta}^{-2}) - Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta}^-)^2 d^4x \right) DA \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

PC_2 is the parabolic second Chern class, given by:

$$\begin{aligned} PC_2 &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}) - Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta}) Tr_f(\tilde{F}_{\alpha\beta}) d^4x \\ &= C_2 + \sum_p \left(Tr(\lambda_p e_{D_p}) - Tr(\lambda_p) Tr(e_{D_p}) + \frac{1}{2} (Tr(\lambda_p^2) - Tr(\lambda_p)^2) D_p^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

where C_2 is the ordinary second Chern class, λ_p the parabolic weight at p , D_p^2 the self intersection number at p , e_{D_p} the electric flux divided by 2π through the dimension two divisor D_p (in fact these fluxes are referred to as magnetic in [24], but here we call them electric, since they are dual to the fluxes through the plane of the Wilson loop that we have referred to as magnetic). The parabolic weight is the eigenvalue of the hermitean part of the curvature in the Hitchin equation, divided by 2π and modulo 1. PC_1 is the parabolic first Chern class, given by:

$$\begin{aligned} PC_1 &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta}) \tilde{\omega}_{\alpha\beta} d^4x \\ &= C_1 + \sum_p Tr(\lambda_p) Deg(D_p) \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where C_1 is the ordinary first Chern class and $Deg(D_p)$ the degree of the dimension two divisor D_p . For a detailed explanation see [36] and in physical language [24].

In the loop equation there is no contribution from the variation of PC_2 , since it is a topological invariant and in particular it vanishes, together with PC_1 , for stable parabolic

Higgs bundles [36]. These vanishing constraints must be implemented by delta functions in the functional integral of the reduced twisted parabolic theory. Of course PC_2 and PC_1 contain some four dimensional information on the fibration of the parabolic Higgs bundles, i.e. a choice of ordinary Chern classes, electric fluxes, self-intersection numbers and degrees. These choices introduce a discrete ambiguity and can be justified a posteriori by the computation of the beta function.

However, these choices may have a natural interpretation from the point of view of the EK reduction from four to two dimensions. In particular, to get the correct first coefficient of the beta function, we need a certain matching between the value of the classical action in the $PC_2 = PC_1 = 0$ sector and the number of zero modes that occur in the localisation determinant. This matching is different, but somehow analogue, to the matching that occurs for instantons in one-loop perturbation theory. In the latter case the classical action is $\frac{N(4\pi)^2|Q|}{2g^2}$, while the number of zero modes of the operator in the localisation determinant is $4N|Q|$ (see, for example, [47]). In the instanton case, because of the ASD equation $F_{\alpha\beta}^- = 0$, the localisation determinant and the usual one-loop contribution coincide.

In the present case, it turns out that the locus in the moduli space of the twisted parabolic Higgs bundles, for which the first coefficient of the beta function is reproduced, corresponds to a system of irreducible twisted Hodge bundles, that in physical terms are vortex equations with (minus) first Chern class equal to k . For such a system the action is $\frac{N(4\pi)^2|k|}{2g^2}$, while it follows by an index theorem that the number of (real) zero modes for fixed parabolic weights is $2N|k|$. Let us notice that for $|Q| = |k|$ the classical action for instantons and vortices has the same value, but the number of zero modes for vortices is one half of the number of zero modes for instantons. Nevertheless we will see in the next section that the one-loop beta function is the same, because the spin contribution to the beta function in the instanton case is zero while it is not so in the vortex case. Therefore we want the condition $PC_2 = 0$ to imply the value $\frac{N(4\pi)^2k}{2g^2}$ for the classical action. We will see at the end of this section how this constraint may be satisfied.

Now, to construct the quantum holographic effective action, we add infinitesimal strips starting from the loop and ending into the parabolic points of the two regions in which the sphere is divided by the loop. Then we map conformally each region to a cuspidal fundamental domain over which the quantum term vanishes because of the zig-zag symmetry (the loop backtracks in a neighbourhood of the cusps). The strips occur in pairs, therefore each chart of the hologram has the same number of cusps and the same must hold for the parabolic points of the charts in the original space time.

Mathematically the family of arcs on the hologram belongs to the arc complex of a Riemann surface [38]. In fact, the pairing of the arcs that intersect the loop, in our approach to the loop equation, matches exactly the way weighted arc families are composed on a Riemann surfaces [38].

In the loop equation there is no logarithmic cuspidal anomaly, because, when the arcs ending into a cusps are parallel, the cuspidal anomaly becomes linearly divergent, rather than logarithmically divergent, and thus it mixes with the usual linearly divergent contribution proportional to the perimeter, due to short distance Coulomb-like behaviour [48]. Since we can choose arbitrarily a parabolic point on the loop, the loop has a cuspidal

image in the point at infinity. We should recall the reader that there exist two different mathematical versions of the uniformization theory [38]: the one in the hyperbolic setting and the one in the conformal setting. Of course they are equivalent topologically, but not metrically.

The hyperbolic setting is reminiscent of the AdS correspondence [42], but the version that works at the level of loop equation for our approach is the conformal setting, since we want that the scaling factor of the metric be induced by a conformal diffeomorphism.

Quadratic differentials [49] can be used to construct the uniformization map to the cuspidal fundamental domain. The basic relation between quadratic differentials, q , and the uniformization map t is:

$$\frac{\partial t}{\partial z} = \sqrt{q} \tag{2.34}$$

We need therefore the standard form of a quadratic differential near a cusp:

$$\frac{\partial t}{\partial z} = \frac{L}{2\pi iz} \tag{2.35}$$

where L is the length of the horocycle arc around the cusp. Since this expression is infinite at the cusps it must be regularized and suitably interpreted. In particular it depends crucially on what the cutoff is on the fundamental domain near the cusps. We must distinguish the $d = 2$ from the $d = 4$ case.

In the $d = 2$ case, the theory is invariant under area preserving diffeomorphism, and thus it is not restrictive to consider a circular loop of area equal to the area of the region inside the loop. In this case we have essentially a circle that is mapped to the circle at infinity. This is a cylinder, i.e. a punctured disk, that is mapped by the uniformization map to a strip in the upper-half plane. In this case the uniformization map is:

$$t = \frac{L}{2\pi i} \log(z) \tag{2.36}$$

Thus we get:

$$\left| \frac{\partial t}{\partial z} \right| (p)^2 = \frac{R^2}{a^2} = \frac{A}{\pi a^2} = N_D \tag{2.37}$$

where R is the radius and A the area of the disk, while a is the radius of a little disk around the puncture. Thus N_D is the number of lattice points inside the disk.

In the $d = 4$ case, the theory is not invariant under area preserving diffeomorphism, however, as far as we are interested in the local approximation for the quantum effective action, the only thing that matters is how to interpret the quadratic differentials near the cusps, and it is natural to maintain the $d = 2$ interpretation. More intrinsically, in the computation of the quark-antiquark potential (that we do not perform here), we would be interested in a very long rectangular Wilson loop. The two long parallel sides of the loop would then be, on a (large) sphere, two circles of very small curvature, in such a way that the two dimensional interpretation holds literally. At global level, in the conformal setting, the most suggestive representation of the hologram is as a Mandelstam graph, in which the infinitesimal strips are strings ending into the cusps (see, for example, [38, 50]).

Finally the holographic loop equation on the hologram reads:

$$0 = \tau \left(\frac{\delta \Gamma_q}{\delta \mu_p} \Psi(x_p, x_p; B) \right) \quad (2.38)$$

(τ denotes the combination of the colour trace with the v.e.v.) that is implied by the critical equation:

$$\frac{\delta \Gamma_q}{\delta \mu_p} = 0 \quad (2.39)$$

which we refer to as the master equation.

We are now ready to construct the quantum holographic effective action. We denote by Γ_q^∞ and Γ_q^0 the quantum effective actions on the corresponding charts of the hologram. They are obtained in the following way. It is repeated the construction of Γ in each chart of the hologram. On the hologram the axial gauge and the gauge $\mu_p^- = 0$ at the cusps are chosen. The superscript $-$ for μ_p means here lower triangular part, excluding the diagonal, while the superscript $+$ for μ_p means here upper triangular part including the diagonal. In this gauge $\log \left| \frac{D\mu}{D\mu'} \right| = 0$ because the gauge conditions $\mu_p^- = 0$ and $\mu_p'^- = 0$ can be imposed at the same time, by means of gauge transformations respectively unitary and in the complexification of the gauge group, and the resulting fields may differ only by transformations that are upper triangular, thus giving trivial contribution to the Jacobian. Let us observe also that $Det(ad\mu_p^+) |_{\mu_p^- = 0}$ reduces to the Vandermonde determinant of the eigenvalues of μ_p and as such can be written in any gauge.

Thus, in the $d = 2$ theory, Γ_q on each chart of the hologram is the classical action on the hologram minus the logarithm the Vandermonde determinant of the eigenvalues. Since the $d = 2$ theory is not conformal invariant the classical action on the hologram differs by a conformal factor of the metric from the classical action on space-time, as we will see at the beginning of section 3. Finally to get the effective action on the entire hologram we multiply the contributions from each chart and sum over the discrete set of gauge orbits that leaves invariant the holonomy on the boundary of each chart.

In fact we should take into account some extra Jacobians that arise by imposing that the holonomy on each chart has a fixed value and the FP that arises choosing a gauge in which the holonomy is actually diagonal. It is possible to show, however [51], that the product of these Jacobians cancels exactly.

In $d = 4$, on the hologram, Γ_q is the same as Γ on space-time, up to the conformal anomaly, because the hologram is a conformal image of the punctured space-time in each chart. As in $d = 2$ we impose an axial gauge in a direction orthogonal to the line of cusps. Using the extended gauge symmetry across the cusps, we set μ in upper triangular form and we add to Γ minus the logarithm of the corresponding FP determinant, that in this case too is the Vandermonde determinant. This completes the construction of Γ_q in each chart.

More explicitly:

$$\Gamma_q = \Gamma|_{A_y=0} - \sum_p \log Det(ad\mu_p^+) |_{\mu_p^- = 0} + \text{ConformalAnomaly} \quad (2.40)$$

The first term, $\Gamma|_{A_y=0} = (\frac{N}{2g^2}S_{\text{YM}} + \frac{1}{2}\log\text{Det}'(-\Delta_A\delta_{\alpha\beta} + D_\mu D_\nu + iad_{F_{\alpha\beta}^-}))|_{A_y=0}$, is the classical holographic action associated to the reduced non-commutative theory in the axial gauge on the space-time. For the purpose of computing the beta function, Γ can be computed in any gauge, provided we add the logarithm of the corresponding Faddeev Popov determinant. Finally, we sum over a discrete set of gauge orbits, that leaves invariant the holonomy of B , and also over all sectors of Z_N flux, since we are in fact computing a Wilson loop in the adjoint representation. This completes the construction of Γ_q on the entire hologram in $d = 4$.

The $d = 4$ theory has some peculiarity, since we are representing an adjoint Wilson loop as the product of the fundamental one and its conjugate in the large- N limit. The orbifold and orientifold case correspond to merge in a different way the contributions from the two charts of the hologram. We can explain the two constructions in terms of two different resolutions of identity in the functional integral.

In the orbifold case we merge together the chart at zero and the chart at infinity that have as boundary a Wilson loop in the same representation. We get therefore for the resolution of identity of the reduced orbifold theory:

$$1 = \sum_k \int \delta\left(F_B - \sum_p (\mu_{0p}\delta_{0p}^{(2)} + \mu_{\infty p}\delta_{\infty p}^{(2)}) + H_k 1\right) \prod_p D\mu_p \times \int \delta\left(\bar{F}_B - \sum_p (\bar{\mu}_{0p}\delta_{0p}^{(2)} + \bar{\mu}_{\infty p}\delta_{\infty p}^{(2)}) - H_k 1\right) \prod_p D\bar{\mu}_p \quad (2.41)$$

On the orbifold the partition function, for a fixed Z_N flux, factorizes into the contributions of the fundamental and the conjugate representation. The complete partition function is obtained summing over sectors of equal Z_N flux. The gauge group of the theory is, in an effective way, $\frac{\text{SU}(N)_f \times \text{SU}(N)_{\bar{f}}}{Z_N}$.

In the orientifold case, instead, we merge the two charts at infinity and the two charts at zero, that have as boundary a Wilson loop in the fundamental and in the conjugate representation. The merging is possible since the v.e.v. of the Wilson loop are the same for both the representations [26] and thus we assume that the eigenvalues in the two different representation can be identified up to gauge equivalence. In fact, since the v.e.v of a Wilson loop is real, we expect the eigenvalues to occur in pairs, with opposite sign in the exponent. The conjugate representation will change just the sings, allowing the identification by re-ordering. The assumption that we make is equivalent to requiring that charge conjugation is unbroken in the large- N limit and that acts by gauge transformations on the eigenvalues of the Wilson loop. We get therefore for the resolution of identity of the reduced orientifold theory:

$$1 = \sum_k \int \delta\left(F_B - \sum_p \mu_{0p}\delta_{0p}^{(2)} + H_k 1\right) \delta\left(\bar{F}_B - \sum_p \bar{\mu}_{0p}\delta_{0p}^{(2)} - H_k 1\right) \prod_p D\mu_{0p} D\bar{\mu}_{0p} \times \int \delta\left(F_B - \sum_p \mu_{\infty p}\delta_{\infty p}^{(2)} + H_k 1\right) \delta\left(\bar{F}_B - \sum_p \bar{\mu}_{\infty p}\delta_{\infty p}^{(2)} - H_k 1\right) \prod_p D\mu_{\infty p} D\bar{\mu}_{\infty p} \quad (2.42)$$

On the orientifold the partition function, for a fixed Z_N flux, factorizes into the contributions of two non-orientable surfaces that are obtained doubling the chart at zero and the

chart at infinity by gluing through an orientifold plane over which the Wilson loop lies. The complete partition function is obtained summing over sectors of equal H_k field.

A subtle point arises about the first Chern class of the parabolic bundles on the two holograms in the orientifold theory. Cutting and gluing changes the flux, thus to get the same flux, we perform a dilatation whose effect is taken into account by the conformal anomaly. The gauge group of our orientifold theory is then, in an effective way, a $SU(N)$ diagonally embedded into $\frac{SU(N)_f \times SU(N)_{\bar{f}}}{Z_N}$ on the (non-orientable) double of space-time.

Interestingly, in the orientifold case, we are somehow separating the infrared from the ultraviolet, since we are taking different continuum limits on the two holograms, thanks to the fact that the lattice spacings are different, as we will see shortly. In this respect it would be interesting to study the action of a duality transformation on the partition function, although it will not be considered here.

In the resolution of identity in eq. (2.41), (2.42) we have omitted the sum over the shifts of the parabolic weights, but we will display them in the quantum holographic effective action. The allowed shifts are the ones that leave invariant the holonomy of the loop and we leave them undetermined in this paper. However the shift ensemble is non-void, since it has to contain at least the shifts in the curvature associated to the action of the Z_N group of large gauge transformations.

Finally, we should find out which is the value of the classical action implied by the stability constraints, $PC_2 = PC_1 = 0$, on the hologram in the orientifold case. As we will see in the next section, we would like to have $\sum_p Tr(\lambda_{\infty p}^2 + c.c.) - 2k = 0$ to get the correct beta function. This implies a number of choices in eq. (2.32). If we set, as it seems natural, $D_{\infty p}^2 = 1$ for all p , then we need $C_2 - \frac{1}{2}C_1^2 - ktr(e_{D_{\infty p}}) + ktr(\bar{e}_{D_{\infty p}}) = 0$ while $ke_{D_{\infty p}} - k\bar{e}_{D_{\infty p}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_p Tr(\lambda_{\infty p}^2 + c.c.) = 0$. Thus $e_{D_{\infty p}} = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\bar{e}_{D_{\infty p}} = \frac{1}{2}$ for all p . $C_1 = -k$ and $\bar{C}_1 = k$ by the stability condition $PC_1 + P\bar{C}_1 = 0$ on the orientifold, with the natural choice $Deg(D_{\infty p}) = 1$. Thus the EK reduction in the plane transverse to the Wilson loop has to be made in presence of an electric flux with $e_{D_{\infty p}} = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\bar{e}_{D_{\infty p}} = \frac{1}{2}$, that, on the (non-orientable) double cover, still satisfies the quantisation condition $k(-\frac{1}{2}) + (-k)\frac{1}{2} = integer$.

We will see in the next section that these constraints are actually non-void for a vortex system. Had we employed the hermitean resolution of identity, we would have needed $e_{D_{\infty p}} = -\bar{e}_{D_{\infty p}} = -1$, in order to get the correct normalisation of the classical action, and thus the correct beta function. Yet, we have been unable to show, in this case, that the associated constraint is non-void for a vortex system.

3. The large- N exact beta function for the Wilsonian and the canonical coupling

For the reader convenience, as an exercise before considering explicit formulae for the quantum effective action in $d = 4$, we write the formulae for the $d = 2$ case.

Γ_q in $d = 2$, in the fundamental representation, reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\Gamma_q) = & \sum_{k_0, k_\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{N}{g^2 \tilde{a}^2} \sum_i \sum_p \left| \frac{\partial t}{\partial z}(p) \right|_0^2 (h + k_0)_p^{i2} + \sum_{i>j} \sum_p \log(h_p^i - h_p^j + k_{p0}^i - k_{p0}^j) \right) \\ & \exp \left(-\frac{N}{g^2 a^2} \sum_i \sum_p \left| \frac{\partial t}{\partial z}(p) \right|_\infty^2 (h + k_\infty)_p^{i2} + \sum_{i>j} \sum_p \log(h_p^i - h_p^j + k_{p\infty}^i - k_{p\infty}^j) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where h_p is the lattice field of the eigenvalues of the curvature. We have set $a = \frac{2\pi}{\Lambda}$, with a the lattice spacing corresponding to the cutoff Λ of the theory, that arises from the product of delta functions at the same point in the classical action, and analogously for \tilde{a} .

Since the number of points, N_D , is the same in each chart by construction, the only way to define different areas is to choose different values of the lattice spacing in each chart, a and \tilde{a} . The shifts of the eigenvalues of the curvature, k_0 and k_∞ , are chosen in such a way to leave invariant the Wilson loop. Therefore they satisfy the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p k_{p0}^j &= 2\pi \times \text{integer} \\ \sum_p k_{p\infty}^j &= 2\pi \times \text{integer} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

It should be noticed that Γ_q is expressed as a functional of the curvature on the hologram. This involves a change of the metric in the classical action, since the classical action is not conformally invariant. Using our interpretation of the regularized quadratic differentials, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\Gamma_q) = & \sum_{k_0, k_\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{NN_D}{g^2 \tilde{a}^2} \sum_i \sum_p (h + k_0)_p^{i2} + \sum_{i>j} \sum_p \log(h_p^i - h_p^j + k_{p0}^i - k_{p0}^j) \right) \\ & \exp \left(-\frac{NN_D}{g^2 a^2} \sum_i \sum_p (h + k_\infty)_p^{i2} + \sum_{i>j} \sum_p \log(h_p^i - h_p^j + k_{p\infty}^i - k_{p\infty}^j) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Assuming translational invariance in each of the two charts of the hologram:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{p0}^j &= k_0^j = \frac{2\pi}{N_D} \times \text{integer} \\ k_{p\infty}^j &= k_\infty^j = \frac{2\pi}{N_D} \times \text{integer} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, the quantum effective action reduces to:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\Gamma_q) = & \prod_p \sum_{k_0, k_\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{NN_D}{g^2 \tilde{a}^2} \sum_i (h + k_0)^{i2} + \sum_{i>j} \log(h^i - h^j + k_0^i - k_0^j) \right) \\ & \times \exp \left(-\frac{NN_D}{g^2 a^2} \sum_i (h + k_\infty)^{i2} + \sum_{i>j} \log(h^i - h^j + k_\infty^i - k_\infty^j) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where the h^i are determined as follows. We can express the effective action in terms of the eigenvalues of the holonomy, $\exp(i\lambda^i)$, instead of the eigenvalues of the curvature of the local system. We have the relations:

$$(\lambda + 2\pi \times \text{integer})^i = N_D (h + k_0)^i = N_D (h + k_\infty)^i \quad (3.6)$$

obtained requiring that the holonomy at the boundary of each chart is the same and assuming translational invariance on each chart. The quantum effective action then satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma_q}{N_D}\right) &= \sum_{m_0, m_\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{N}{g^2 N_D \tilde{a}^2} \sum_i (\lambda + 2\pi m_0)^{i2} + \sum_{i>j} \log(\lambda^i - \lambda^j + 2\pi m_0^i - 2\pi m_0^j)\right) \\ &\quad \exp\left(-\frac{N}{g^2 N_D a^2} \sum_i (\lambda + 2\pi m_\infty)^{i2} + \sum_{i>j} \log(\lambda^i - \lambda^j + 2\pi m_\infty^i - 2\pi m_\infty^j)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where now the sum over m_0, m_∞ is on integers. Γ_q coincides exactly, up to a factor of N_D , irrelevant in the loop equation, with the quantum effective action for the eigenvalues of a Wilson loop on a sphere obtained by functional methods [51], provided we identify $A_0 = N_D a^2$ and $A_\infty = N_D \tilde{a}^2$, where A_0 and A_∞ are the areas of the two charts in which the sphere is divided by the Wilson loop.

We now pass to the $d = 4$ case. Following [11], it is convenient to perform the computation of the divergent parts of Γ_q in an indirect way, by means of a term by term comparison with the usual one-loop perturbative contribution to the effective action. For this purpose, let us recall the structure of one-loop perturbative corrections to the classical action, in the Feynman gauge:

$$\begin{aligned} \int Dc DA_\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2g^2} \int d^4x Tr(c^2)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2g^2} S_{\text{YM}}\right) \delta(D_\alpha \delta A_\alpha - c) \Delta_{FP} = \\ = \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2g^2} S_{\text{YM}}\right) Det^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta_A \delta_{\alpha\beta} + i2ad_{F_{\alpha\beta}}) Det(-\Delta_A) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where we have inserted in the functional integral the gauge-fixing condition and the corresponding Faddeev-Popov determinant and, by an abuse of notation, we have denoted with A the classical background field in the right hand side of eq. (3.8). It follows that the perturbative one-loop effective action, in the Feynman gauge, is given by:

$$\Gamma_{\text{one-loop}} = \frac{N}{2g^2} S_{\text{YM}} + \frac{1}{2} \log Det(-\Delta_A \delta_{\alpha\beta} + i2ad_{F_{\alpha\beta}}) - \log Det(-\Delta_A) \quad (3.9)$$

The perturbative computation of the one-loop beta function [1, 2] is the result of two contributions, that are independent within logarithmic accuracy [52].

The orbital contribution gives origin to diamagnetism and to a positive term in the beta function:

$$-\log(Det^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\Delta_A \delta_{\alpha\beta}) Det(-\Delta_A)) = \log Det(-\Delta_A) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{N}{(4\pi)^2} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right) \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int d^4x Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta})^2 \quad (3.10)$$

where it should be noticed the cancellation of two of the four polarisations between the first factor and the Faddev-Popov determinant.

The spin contribution gives origin to paramagnetism and to an overwhelming negative term in the beta function [52]:

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} Tr(i2ad_{F_{\alpha\beta}}(-\Delta_A)^{-1} i2ad_{F_{\alpha\beta}}(-\Delta_A)^{-1}) = -4 \frac{N}{(4\pi)^2} \log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right) \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int d^4x Tr_f(F_{\alpha\beta})^2 \quad (3.11)$$

Hence the complete result for the divergent part of $\Gamma_{one-loop}$ is:

$$\left(\frac{N}{2g^2} - \frac{11}{3} \frac{N}{(4\pi)^2} \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) \right) \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int d^4x Tr_f (F_{\alpha\beta})^2 \quad (3.12)$$

In the sector with $PC_2 = 0$, which is the one of stable parabolic Higgs bundles that are of interest for us, this reduces to:

$$\left(\frac{N}{2g^2} - \frac{11}{3} \frac{N}{(4\pi)^2} \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) \right) \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int d^4x Tr_f \left(2 \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha\beta}^- \right)^2 \right) \quad (3.13)$$

Now, the orbital contribution, from the localisation determinant in Γ_q , is the same as the one-loop perturbative one, and thus, in the $PC_2 = 0$ sector, reduces to:

$$\frac{1}{3} \frac{N}{(4\pi)^2} \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int d^4x Tr_f \left(2 \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha\beta}^- \right)^2 \right) \quad (3.14)$$

On the contrary, the spin contribution, from the localisation determinant in Γ_q , involves only the anti-selfdual part of the curvature, instead of the complete curvature and, in every sector, is equal to:

$$- 4 \frac{N}{(4\pi)^2} \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int d^4x Tr_f \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha\beta}^- \right)^2 \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, the spin contribution in Γ_q , from the localisation determinant, is only one half of the spin contribution in perturbation theory in the $PC_2 = 0$ sector. Thus, the orbital and spin contributions in Γ_q , from the localisation determinant, in the PC_2 sector, sum up to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{N}{2g_W^2} - \left(2 - \frac{1}{3} \right) \frac{N}{(4\pi)^2} \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) \right) \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int d^4x Tr_f \left(2 \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha\beta}^- \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{N}{2g_W^2} - \frac{5}{3} \frac{N}{(4\pi)^2} \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) \right) \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int d^4x Tr_f \left(2 \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha\beta}^- \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{N}{2g_W^2} Z^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \int d^4x Tr_f \left(2 \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha\beta}^- \right)^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where Z^{-1} is given by:

$$Z^{-1} = 1 - \frac{10}{3} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} g_W^2 \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) \quad (3.17)$$

and we have added to g the underscored W to stress that our computation here refers to the Wilsonian coupling constant. This formula for Z is actually exact to all orders in the Wilsonian coupling constant, up to finite terms. Therefore the localisation determinant alone does not reproduce the exact one-loop beta function in Γ_q .

The only source of new divergences in Γ_q can be normalizable zero modes, arising in the integration on the gauge connection of the delta function in eq. (2.11). Until now we

have tacitly assumed that no normalizable zero mode occurs, but we have just understood that in order to reproduce the exact one-loop beta function they are in fact necessary.

The dimension of the space of zero modes is equal to the dimension of the moduli space of those deformations of the parabolic Higgs bundles that leave invariant the functional:

$$\int d^2x \text{Tr}_f (F_{\alpha\beta}^- - \mu_{\alpha\beta}^-)^2 \quad (3.18)$$

that occurs in the definition of the delta function, as the limit of an exponential of a quadratic form, provided the corresponding zero modes are normalizable. Thus, formally, these moduli are associated in general to adjoint orbits. However, for a Higgs bundle, the deformations associated to adjoint orbits do not lead in general to normalizable the zero modes, since, in the tangent space to adjoint orbits, delta-like singularities occur, for fixed λ_p, n_p :

$$\begin{aligned} F_A - i\Psi^2 &= \sum_p 2\pi g_p \lambda_p g_p^{-1} \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) - H1 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \psi &= \sum_p n_p \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) \\ \partial_A \bar{\psi} &= \sum_p \bar{n}_p \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

In eq. (3.19) we have rescaled the eigenvalues of the adjoint orbits by a factor of 2π , in such a way that they coincide now with the parabolic weights modulo 1.

Eq. (3.19) describes a Kahler quotient by the compact gauge group, in which the dimension of the moduli space of solutions, for fixed λ_p, n_p , is the dimension of the adjoint orbit. This is the dimension of the space of formal zero modes of the quadratic form in eq. (3.18). The complex dimension of a $SU(N)$ adjoint orbit is $\frac{1}{2}(N^2 - \sum_i m_i^2)$, where m_i^2 are the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the adjoint orbit. Thus, if all the eigenvalues are different, the complex dimension is $\frac{1}{2}(N^2 - N)$. However, in general these zero modes are not normalizable, unless the metric is changed and adapted to the singularities of the connection determined by the adjoint orbits [37].

(On the hologram) we can gauge transform eq. (3.19) to:

$$\begin{aligned} F_A - i\Psi^2 &= \sum_p 2\pi \lambda_p \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) - H1 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \psi &= \sum_p g_p^{-1} n_p g_p \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) \\ \partial_A \bar{\psi} &= \sum_p g_p \bar{n}_p g_p^{-1} \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Now, there is a special locus in the moduli space of the Higgs system, for which the Higgs field is regular and thus normalizable zero modes may exist in the tangent space. This locus corresponds to set $n_p = \bar{n}_p = 0$, to get a kind of infinite dimensional vortex equation [53]:

$$\begin{aligned} F_A - i\Psi^2 &= \sum_p 2\pi \lambda_p \delta^{(2)}(x - x_p) - H1 \\ \bar{\partial}_A \psi &= 0 \\ \partial_A \bar{\psi} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

In fact this locus is favoured by the master equation in the local approximation, since the Vandermonde determinant creates repulsion between the eigenvalues of μ , while the other moduli are suppressed by the classical action.

On the hologram there are not anymore adjoint orbits. However, we can determine the dimension of the moduli space of normalizable zero modes as well, using an index theorem that counts the number of holomorphic sections of the holomorphic bundle:

$$\bar{\partial}_A \psi = 0 \tag{3.22}$$

The complex dimension of this space is $N|k|$ [54], where k is minus the first Chern class, that, for stable parabolic Higgs bundles of parabolic degree zero, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} k &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \text{Tr}(H_k) d^2x \\ &= \sum_p \text{Tr}(\lambda_p \text{mod} 1) = -C_1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Of course the two ways of counting must coincide, and in fact they do, provided singularities in the moduli of vortex configurations are suitably interpreted. It is easy to see directly in some special cases how the two ways of counting coincide, provided we take into account the restrictions of rational type that arise on the eigenvalues, due to the fact that the singularities of A can only arise from the zeroes of ψ .

For one $SU(N)$ vortex the eigenvalues of the curvature are $\frac{2\pi}{N} \dots \frac{2\pi(1-N)}{N}$. Therefore the complex dimension of the orbit is $\frac{1}{2}(N^2 - 1 - (N - 1)^2) = N$ and $k = 1$, since all the parabolic weights, being the eigenvalues divided by 2π modulo 1, are $\frac{1}{N}$. However, if the eigenvalues are $\frac{2\pi k}{N} \dots \frac{2\pi(1-N)k}{N}$ the counting of the holomorphic sections and the dimension of the orbit do not seem to agree. Yet, from the holomorphic point of view, this configuration describes in fact k vortices colliding at the same point. Vortices of this kind may occur at strong coupling, where we do not expect a scaling behaviour.

Let us consider now the more complicated situation of a generic adjoint orbit, that we expect to be relevant in the large- N limit. If we were in finite dimension, because eq. (3.22) is left invariant under constant rescaling of ψ , it would describe bundles of Hodge type, that are indeed the fixed points of the rescaling action from the holomorphic point of view [32–34]. Thus ψ would be nilpotent [32–34]. In eq. (3.20), instead, Hodge bundles arise as fixed points of the circle action, $\exp(i\alpha)$, on ψ , that must act by gauge transformations [55]. Thus, A decomposes as a sum of irreducible representations of dimensions equal to the multiplicity of the parabolic weights, that therefore turn out to be rational. Yet, ψ may still stay irreducible, provided all the blocks in A have the same dimension [56], for example are valued in $SU(m)$. Thus $N = mN'$.

In each $SU(m)$ block we put one vortex. Thus $k = N'$, the number of $SU(m)$ blocks, and the complex dimension of zero modes associated to this orbit is NN' . Of course, to get the total number of zero modes, we have to sum over the dimensions of all the non-trivial orbits. It is easy to see that, in the large m limit, the contribution of each vortex of this type to the classical action is $\frac{N(2\pi)^2 N'}{g^2}$ and therefore the total contribution of vortices in the fundamental representation is $\frac{N(2\pi)^2 k}{g^2}$.

We are ready now to continue our computation of the beta function. We may think that, somehow conserving our difficulties, we have traded the integration over the order of N^2 degrees of freedom in the curvature of the original theory into the integration over the (eventually) same order of N^2 degrees of freedom of the normalizable zero modes on the hologram. Yet, we know from the loop equation, that in the second case the resulting theory must be classical, in the sense that on the hologram all the quantum corrections are already included in the quantum holographic effective action.

This is precisely what happens. Because of the normalizable zero modes, Γ_q gets the missing contributions, in order to complete the correct one-loop beta function.

In the orientifold case the hologram consists of two disconnected surfaces, the hologram at zero and the hologram at infinity. We start computing the contribution of the hologram at infinity. Because of the constraint $PC_2 = PC_1 = 0$, to hold in the large- N limit, and our choices for the fibration of the Higgs bundles, the classical action on the hologram at infinity is:

$$\exp\left(-\frac{2Nk(2\pi)^2}{g^2}\right) \tag{3.24}$$

because we get k vortices in the fundamental representation and k vortices in the conjugate representation from the doubling of the chart at infinity in the orientifold. The localisation determinant renormalises the classical action according to eq. (3.16), (3.17):

$$\exp\left(-\frac{2NkZ^{-1}(2\pi)^2}{g^2}\right) \tag{3.25}$$

The contribution of zero modes is:

$$\Lambda^{2Nk} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\tilde{a}}\right)^{2Nk} \tag{3.26}$$

Indeed k vortices in the fundamental representation contribute Nk holomorphic zero modes, that are paired with the Nk anti-holomorphic ones from the doubling with the conjugate representation. This matching between holomorphic and anti-holomorphic zero modes follows from the holomorphic resolution of identity employed in eq. (2.14) and the pairing with the corresponding anti-holomorphic contribution in the conjugate representation in the resolution of identity of the orientifold, eq. (2.42).

This pairing on the orientifold resembles the absence of the twisted sector in the field theoretical orientifold [5, 6, 26]. Combining eq. (3.25), (3.26), we get for the Wilsonian coupling constant:

$$\frac{(4\pi)^2 Nk}{2g_W^2(\mu)} = (4\pi)^2 Nk \left(\frac{1}{2g_W^2(\Lambda)} - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left(2 + \frac{5}{3} \right) \log\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right) \right) \tag{3.27}$$

provided there are no other divergent contributions from the hologram at zero, as we will show in the following.

From a direct computation we get that the classical action on the hologram at zero scales as $\frac{\tilde{a}^2}{a^2}$. This is due to the normalisation condition $\frac{\pi}{N_2 H \tilde{a}^2} = 1$ on the hologram at

infinity that implies for the hologram at zero the normalisation $\frac{\pi}{N_2 H a^2} = \frac{\tilde{a}^2}{a^2}$. Then on the hologram at infinity we take the continuum limit $\tilde{a} \rightarrow 0$ with $A_\infty = N_D \tilde{a}^2 = \text{const}$ and small, while on the hologram at zero we keep $a = \text{const}$ with $A_0 = N_D a^2 \rightarrow \infty$.

This means that on the hologram at infinity we take the continuum limit at fixed small area, while on the hologram at zero we take the termodinamic limit at fixed lattice spacing. In this way we send the area of the universe to infinity, while keeping the area of the loop finite and small. There might be other ways of taking the continuum limit, however, we will not discuss them in this paper. Any possible ultraviolet quantum contribution is finite in the hologram at zero, since we have kept a fixed. Thus, in the limit $\tilde{a} \rightarrow 0, a = \text{const}$, we conclude that the Wilsonian coupling constant is exactly one loop. However, this will not be the case for the canonical coupling, as we will see momentarily.

We start with a preamble, recalling how the difference between the Wilsonian and the canonical beta function can be understood in terms of a rescaling anomaly in the functional integral, in the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super-symmetric case, following [57].

The canonical coupling constant can be related to the Wilsonian one taking into account an anomalous Jacobian that occurs in the functional integral:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z &= \int \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2g_W^2} S_{\text{YM}}(A)\right) DA \\
 &= \int \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2g_W^2} S_{\text{YM}}(g_c A_c)\right) \frac{D(g_c A_c)}{DA_c} DA_c \\
 &= \int \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2g_W^2} S_{\text{YM}}(g_c A_c) + \log \frac{D(g_c A_c)}{DA_c}\right) DA_c \\
 &= \int \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2g_c^2} S_{\text{YM}}(g_c A_c)\right) DA_c
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

From this relation it follows that:

$$\frac{N}{2g_c^2} = \frac{N}{2g_W^2} - S_{\text{YM}}^{-1}(g_c A_c) \log \frac{D(g_c A_c)}{DA_c} \tag{3.29}$$

We now pass to the large- N QCD_4 case. Since we have completed the construction of the quantum effective action, Γ_q , in terms of the eigenvalues of the curvature of a twisted local system, the computation of the canonical beta function is by now most easily performed. We should notice that, since our effective action involves only the N eigenvalues, the rescaling anomaly that arises from the integration measure for them, whatever it is, is sub-leading in $\frac{1}{N}$. Thus, if there is at all a rescaling anomaly, it must be already contained in the functional form of the quantum effective action.

Here are the expressions for the local part of the effective action, up to the conformal anomaly and finite terms, for the hologram at infinity and at zero respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \exp(-\Gamma_q^{k,\infty}) &= \sum_e \delta\left(\sum_{i,p} (\lambda_p^i + e_p^i) - k\right) \delta\left(\sum_{i,p} (\bar{\lambda}_p^i + \bar{e}_p^i) + k\right) \\
 &\delta\left(\sum_{i,p} (\lambda_p^i + e_p^i)^2 + c.c. - 2k\right) \prod_p \exp\left(-\frac{NZ^{-1}(2\pi)^2}{g_W^2} \left(\sum_i (\lambda_p^i + e_p^i)^2 + c.c.\right)\right) \\
 &\tilde{a}^{-2Nk} \prod_{i>j} ((\lambda_p^i - \lambda_p^j + e_p^i - e_p^j) \times c.c.)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\Gamma_q^{k,0}) &= \sum_m \delta\left(\sum_{i,p}(\lambda_p^i + m_p^i) - k\right) \delta\left(\sum_{i,p}(\bar{\lambda}_p^i + \bar{m}_p^i) + k\right) \\ &\delta\left(\sum_{i,p}(\lambda_p^i + m_p^i)^2 + c.c. - 2k\right) \prod_p \exp\left(-\frac{N(2\pi)^2 \tilde{a}^2}{a^2 g_W^2} \left(\sum_i (\lambda_p^i + m_p^i)^2 + c.c.\right)\right) \\ &\prod_{i>j} ((\lambda_p^i - \lambda_p^j + m_p^i - m_p^j) \times c.c.) \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

The complete expression, as a sum over k sectors, is:

$$\sum_k \exp(-\Gamma_q^{k,\infty}) \exp(-\Gamma_q^{k,0}) \quad (3.32)$$

If we set in canonical form the quadratic part of the action in the two holograms, we get a different rescaling for different fields.

For the hologram at infinity we get:

$$(g_c Z^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2Nk} \quad (3.33)$$

The exponent, $2Nk$, results from the number of zero modes, that equals the complex dimension of the adjoint orbit for vortices in the fundamental representation, Nk , plus the contribution for vortices in the conjugate representation, Nk , that in turn equals the number of non-vanishing factors, $\lambda_p^i - \lambda_p^j + e_p^i - e_p^j$, in the Vandermonde determinant plus the number of non-vanishing factors in the complex conjugate Vandermonde. Had we employed the resolution of identity in eq. (2.13), we would have obtained twice as many factors, but at the same time twice as many zero modes, because of the different holomorphic anti-holomorphic pairing.

However, in this case, the normalisation of the classical action would have been different, in order to get the correct one- and two-loop beta function.

The canonical rescaling of the action in the hologram at infinity involves, in addition to the usual rescaling by a factor of g , also the multiplicative renormalization, Z , of the square of the ASD curvature, as opposed to the $SUSY$ case. For the hologram at zero, by the same counting, we get instead:

$$(g_c)^{2Nk} \quad (3.34)$$

since the multiplicative renormalization, Z , is finite on the hologram at zero and it can be set equal to one by a wise choice of the subtraction point.

Putting together the two contributions, we get:

$$\frac{1}{2g_W^2} = \frac{1}{2g_c^2} + \beta_J \log g_c + \frac{\beta_J}{4} \log Z \quad (3.35)$$

in the continuum limit, defined as $\tilde{a} \rightarrow 0, a = const.$ From eq. (3.35) it follows the formula of $NSVZ$ type [58] for the canonical coupling mentioned in the introduction:

$$\frac{\partial g_c}{\partial \log \Lambda} = \frac{-\beta_0 g_c^3 + \frac{\beta_J}{4} g_c^3 \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial \log \Lambda}}{1 - \beta_J g_c^2} \quad (3.36)$$

We expect the existence of a (scheme dependent) infrared fixed point due to cancellations of terms of different order in g_c in the numerator, since, contrary to perturbation theory, the order of g^5 contribution in the numerator has the sign opposite to the one of order of g^3 .

4. Conclusions

We have computed exactly, up to finite terms, the local part of the effective action for the eigenvalues of the curvature of a twisted local system, that solves the holographic loop equation, for a certain self-avoiding Wilson loop in the adjoint representation, in the large- N limit of a twisted Eguchi-Kawai reduced version of QCD_4 .

The construction of the effective action employs a hologram made by two charts, with the loop on their boundary, containing two lattices of points, on which the curvature of the twisted local system is localised.

The hologram is a conformal image of the two regions of the plane delimited by the loop, with attached infinitesimal strips ending into cusps at infinity, that are the conformal images of the points of the lattice in each chart.

On the hologram the theory becomes classical in the large- N limit, in the sense that the quantum term vanishes in the loop equation, because of the zig-zag symmetry in a neighbourhood of the cusps, and hence the holographic loop equation is implied by the critical equation of the effective action.

We have extracted from it the exact beta function for the Wilsonian and the canonical coupling. In a certain scheme, the Wilsonian coupling is only one loop, with the correct perturbative first coefficient of the beta function.

In the same scheme, the canonical coupling has a $NSVZ$ form, with the correct first two universal perturbative coefficients of the beta function. In addition, the exact canonical beta function may have a scheme dependent fixed point in the infrared, due to the opposite signs in the numerator in the fraction of $NSVZ$ type.

We leave for the future the study of the inter-quark potential via the effective action.

References

- [1] D. Gross, F. Wilczek, *Ultraviolet behavior of nonabelian gauge theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30** (1973) 1343.
- [2] H.D. Politzer, *Reliable perturbative results for strong interactions?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30** (1973) 1346.
- [3] W.E. Caswell, *Asymptotic behavior of nonabelian gauge theories to two loop order*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33** (1974) 244.
- [4] D.R.T. Jones, *Two loop diagrams in Yang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 75** (1974) 531.
- [5] A. Armoni, M. Shifman and G. Veneziano, *SUSY relics in one-flavor QCD from a new $1/N$ expansion*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** (2003) 191601 [[hep-th/0307097](#)].
- [6] A. Armoni, M. Shifman and G. Veneziano, *Refining the proof of planar equivalence*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 71** (2005) 045015 [[hep-th/0412203](#)].

- [7] E. Witten, *Recent developments in gauge theories*, Proc. Nato IAS, Plenum Press, New York (1980).
- [8] I. Singer, *The master field for two dimensional Yang-Mills theory*, lecture at the July 1994 Mathematical Physics Conference in Paris.
- [9] Y.M. Makeenko and A.A. Migdal, *Exact equation for the loop average in multicolor QCD*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 88** (1979) 135 [Erratum *ibid.* **B89** (1980) 437].
- [10] Y. Makeenko and A.A. Migdal, *Quantum chromodynamics as dynamics of loops*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 188** (1981) 269.
- [11] M. Bochiocchio, *Twisted local systems solve the (holographic) loop equation of large- N QCD₄*, *JHEP* **06** (2005) 042 [[hep-th/0502164](#)].
- [12] R. Gopakumar and D.J. Gross, *Mastering the master field*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 451** (1995) 379 [[hep-th/9411021](#)].
- [13] M.R. Douglas, *Large- N gauge theory: expansions and transitions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **41** (Proc. Suppl.) (1995) 66 [[hep-th/9409098](#)].
- [14] M.R. Douglas, *Stochastic master fields*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 344** (1995) 117 [[hep-th/9411025](#)].
- [15] D.V. Voiculescu, K.J. Dykema and A. Nica, *Free random variables*, Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1992).
- [16] O. Haan, *Large- N as a thermodynamic limit*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 106** (1981) 207.
- [17] O. Haan, *On the structure of planar field theory*, *Z. Physik* **C 6** (1980) 345.
- [18] P. Cvitanovic, P.G. Lauwers and P.N. Scharbach, *The planar sector of field theories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 203** (1982) 385.
- [19] B. Durhuus and P. Olesen, *Eigenvalues of the Wilson operator in multicolor QCD*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 184** (1981) 406.
- [20] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, *A perturbative window into non-perturbative physics*, [hep-th/0208048](#).
- [21] F. Cachazo, M.R. Douglas, N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Chiral rings and anomalies in supersymmetric gauge theory*, *JHEP* **12** (2002) 071 [[hep-th/0211170](#)].
- [22] G. Veneziano and S. Yankielowicz, *An effective lagrangian for the pure $N = 1$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 113** (1982) 231.
- [23] A.M. Polyakov, *The wall of the cave*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 14** (1999) 645 [[hep-th/9809057](#)].
- [24] S. Gukov and E. Witten, *Gauge theory, ramification and the geometric Langlands program*, [hep-th/0612073](#).
- [25] M.J. Strassler, *Millennial messages for QCD from the superworld and from the string*, [hep-th/0309140](#).
- [26] A. Armoni, M. Shifman and G. Veneziano, *Exact results in non-supersymmetric large- N orientifold field theories*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 667** (2003) 170 [[hep-th/0302163](#)].
- [27] T. Eguchi and H. Kawai, *Reduction of dynamical degrees of freedom in the large- N gauge theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1063.

- [28] G. Bhanot, U.M. Heller and H. Neuberger, *The quenched Eguchi-Kawai model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **113** (1982) 47.
- [29] A. Gonzalez-Arroyo and C.P. Korthals Altes, *Reduced model for large- N continuum field theories*, *Phys. Lett. B* **131** (1983) 396.
- [30] A. Gonzalez-Arroyo and M. Okawa, *A twisted model for large- N lattice gauge theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **120** (1983) 174.
- [31] T. Eguchi and R. Nakayama, *Simplification of quenching procedure for large- N spin models*, *Phys. Lett. B* **122** (1983) 59.
- [32] N.J. Hitchin, *The selfduality equations on a Riemann surface*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987) 59.
- [33] C. Simpson, *The Hodge filtration on non Abelian cohomology*, [[alg-geom/9604005](#)].
- [34] C. Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, *Publ. Math. IHES* **75** (1992) 5.
- [35] T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus, *Mirror symmetry, Langlands duality and the Hitchin system*, [math.0205236/](#).
- [36] J. Jost, J. Li and K. Zuo, *Harmonic bundles on quasi-compact Kähler manifolds*, [math.AG/0108166](#).
- [37] O. Biquard and P. Bloach, *Wild non-Abelian Hodge theory on curves*, [math.DG/0111098](#).
- [38] R.C. Penner, *Decorated Teichmüller theory of bordered surfaces*, [math.GT/0210326](#).
- [39] D.J. Gross and E. Witten, *Possible third order phase transition in the large- N lattice gauge theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **21** (1980) 446.
- [40] M.R. Douglas and V.A. Kazakov, *Large- N phase transition in continuum QCD in two-dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **319** (1993) 219 [[hep-th/9305047](#)].
- [41] J. Daul, V.M. Kazakov, *Wilson loop for large N Yang-Mills theory on a two-dimensional sphere*, *Phys. Lett. B* **335** (1994) 371 [[hep-th/9310165](#)].
- [42] J.M. Maldacena, *The large- N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [*Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38** (1999) 1113] [[hep-th/9711200](#)].
- [43] G.C. Rossi and M. Testa, *Large N field theories, quenching and the master field*, *Phys. Lett. B* **125** (1983) 476.
- [44] C.I. Lazaroiu, *Holomorphic matrix models*, *JHEP* **05** (2003) 044 [[hep-th/0303008](#)].
- [45] M. Bohicchio, *Solving loop equations by Hitchin systems via holography in large- N QCD₄*, *JHEP* **06** (2003) 026 [[hep-th/0305088](#)].
- [46] M. Bohicchio, *The large- N limit of QCD and the collective field of the Hitchin fibration*, *JHEP* **01** (1999) 006 [[hep-th/9810015](#)].
- [47] M. Bianchi, S. Kovacs and G. Rossi, *Instantons and supersymmetry*, [hep-th/0703142](#).
- [48] N. Drukker, D. Gross and H. Ooguri, *Wilson loop and minimal surfaces*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 125006 [[hep-th/9904191](#)].
- [49] K. Strebel, *Quadratic differentials*, *Ergebnisse der Math.* **3:5**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1984).

- [50] L. Hadasz and Z. Jaskolski, *Joining-splitting interaction of non-critical string*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **18** (2003) 2609 [[hep-th/0202051](#)].
- [51] M. Caselle, A. D'Adda, L. Magnea and S. Panzeri, *Two-dimensional QCD is a one-dimensional Kazakov-Migdal model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **416** (1994) 751 [[hep-th/9304015](#)].
- [52] A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge fields and strings*, Harwood Acad. Publ., Chur (1987).
- [53] L. Álvarez-Consul and O. Garcia-Prada, *Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence, quivers and vortices*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **238** (2003) 1 [[math.DG/0112161](#)].
- [54] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi and N. Sakai, *Moduli space of non-abelian vortices*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 161601 [[hep-th/0511088](#)].
- [55] H.U. Boden and K. Yokogawa, *Moduli spaces of parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic K_D pairs over smooth curves: I*, *Int. J. Math.* **7** (1996) 573.
- [56] R. Donagi and E. Witten, *Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable systems*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **460** (1996) 299 [[hep-th/9510101](#)].
- [57] N. Arkani-Hamed and H. Murayama, *Holomorphy, rescaling anomalies and exact β -functions in supersymmetric gauge theories*, *JHEP* **06** (2000) 030 [[hep-th/9707133](#)].
- [58] M.A. Shifman, *Exact results in gauge theories: putting supersymmetry to work*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **14** (1999) 5017 [[hep-th/9906049](#)].